# Guaranteed Polynesian Navigation

Thibaut Nico<sup>1</sup>, Luc Jaulin $^{\ast 2},$  and Benoît  $\rm Zerr^2$ 

<sup>1</sup>ECA, Lab-STICC UMR CNR 6285 <sup>2</sup>ENSTA-Bretagne, Lab-STICC UMR CNRS 6285



Figure 1: Polynesian navigation

*Keywords:* Navigation; Intervals, Contractors, No-lost zone

# Introduction

The Polynesian navigation problem asks to move from islands to other islands without being lost. The navigation should be performed without GPS, compass and clocks. The difficulty of the navigation is illustrated by Figure 1: the ocean is huge, the islands are small, the boats have a dynamic which is more or less uncertain.

Among the techniques used by Polynesians, the observation of the stars (see Figure 2) are useful to get the heading, but also to detect if the boat is on the route which leads to the desired island. The approach we will follow to guarantee that we can reach an island from another island, uses guaranteed integration [7], tube programming [6], constraint programming [8], localization [3], contractors [2] and interval analysis [5].



Figure 2: Pair of stars technique: the boat is on the right route if the bottom star rises when the right star sets

## Formalisation

Given a set of geo-localized islands  $\mathbf{m}_i, i \ge 0$ , the *i*th coastal area is:

$$\mathbb{C}_{i} = \{\mathbf{x} \mid c_{i}\left(\mathbf{x}\right) \leq 0\}$$

A robot has to move in this environment without being lost. Figure 3 represents a set of 4 islands with the associated coastal zones  $\mathbb{C}_1, \mathbb{C}_2, \mathbb{C}_3, \mathbb{C}_4$  (painted blue).

We assume that (i) the coastal areas are small compare to the offshore area, (ii) in the coastal area, the robot knows its state, (iii) offshore, the robot is blind and has an open loop strategy, such as for instance go North and (iv) the robot is described by blind state equations

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}), & \mathbf{u}(\cdot) \in [\mathbf{u}](t) \\ \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \end{cases}$$

where the input  $\mathbf{u}(t)$  belongs to the uncertainty box  $[\mathbf{u}](t)$ .

We define the set flow  $\mathbf{\Phi} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Phi}(t_1, \mathbf{x}_0) &= \{ \mathbf{a} | \exists \mathbf{u} (\cdot) \in [\mathbf{u}] (t), \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{x}(t_1), \\ \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}), \mathbf{x} (0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.



Figure 3: Islands and coastal zones

Given the set  $\mathbb{A}$  (for instance a coastal area), the *backward reach set* [1] is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Back}(\mathbb{A}) &= \{ \quad \mathbf{x} \mid \forall \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \boldsymbol{\Phi}, \\ \exists t \geq 0, \, \boldsymbol{\varphi}(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{A} \quad \} \end{aligned}$$

Interval analysis is often used to compute backward reach sets in the case where the robot is nonlinear [4]. We have

$$\operatorname{Back}(\mathbb{A} \cup \mathbb{B}) \supset \operatorname{Back}(\mathbb{A}) \cup \operatorname{Back}(\mathbb{B})$$
.

This is the Archipelago effect which tells us that finding an Archipelago  $(\mathbb{A} \cup \mathbb{B})$  is easier than finding individual islands.

#### Moving between coastal zones

Assume that we have m coastal sets  $\mathbb{C}_1, \mathbb{C}_2, \ldots, i \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$  and open loop control strategies  $\mathbf{u}_j, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots\}$  or equivalently, we have set flows  $\mathbf{\Phi}_j(t, \mathbf{x}_0)$ . Moreover, we assume that the control strategy cannot change offshore. As a consequence, (i) from  $\mathbb{C}_1$  we can reach  $\mathbb{C}_2$  with the *j*th control strategy if  $\mathbb{C}_1 \cap \operatorname{Back}(j, \mathbb{C}_2) \neq \emptyset$ . (ii) From  $\mathbb{C}_1$  we can reach  $\mathbb{C}_2$  with at least one control strategy if  $\mathbb{C}_1 \cap \bigcup_j \operatorname{Back}(j, \mathbb{C}_2) \neq \emptyset$ . (iii) From  $\mathbb{C}_1$  we can reach  $\mathbb{C}_2 \cup \mathbb{C}_3$  with at least one control strategy if  $\mathbb{C}_1 \cap \bigcup_j \operatorname{Back}(j, \mathbb{C}_2) \neq \emptyset$ . (iii) From  $\mathbb{C}_1$  we can reach  $\mathbb{C}_2 \cup \mathbb{C}_3$  with at least one control strategy if  $\mathbb{C}_1 \cap \bigcup_j \operatorname{Back}(j, \mathbb{C}_2 \cup \mathbb{C}_3) \neq \emptyset$ .



Figure 4: Reach an island from another island using a 'Go-East' strategy



Figure 5: Reachability graph

Therefore, we define the *reachability* relation  $\hookrightarrow$  as:

- $\mathbb{C}_a \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_b$  if from  $\mathbb{C}_a$  we can reach  $\mathbb{C}_b$  with at least one control strategy j.
- $\hookrightarrow$  is the smallest transitive relation which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \forall k \in \mathbb{K}, \mathbb{C}_{i_k} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_b \\ \exists j, \mathbb{C}_a \cap \operatorname{Back}(j, \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{K}} \mathbb{C}_{i_k}) \neq \emptyset \\ \mathbb{C}_a \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_b \end{cases}$$

Consider for instance, the hyper-graph of Figure 4 where the relation  $\mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{j} \mathbb{B}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  means that from  $\mathbb{A}$  the robot can reach either  $\mathbb{B}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  using the *j*th strategy. For instance, in our graph

$$\mathbb{C}_1 \cap \operatorname{Back}(1, \mathbb{C}_3 \cup \mathbb{C}_4) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathbb{C}_1 \xrightarrow{1} (\mathbb{C}_3, \mathbb{C}_4)$$

Thus, the associated reachability graph (corresponding to  $\hookrightarrow$ ) is given by Figure 5.

In a similar way, we can also define the forward reach set.

# No lost zone

We define the no-lost zone as the set S of all states that we may visit from a coastal area



Figure 6: No-lost zone associated with the 5 islands

without being lost with the available control strategies. Define the *index set* associated with the strategy  $\mathcal{I}_i$  as

$$\mathcal{I}_{j} = \{k | \mathbb{C}_{k} \cap \text{Back}(j, \bigcup_{i \neq k} \mathbb{C}_{i}) \neq \emptyset \}.$$

If we start from  $\mathbb{C}_k, k \in \mathcal{I}_j$ , then we will reach at least another coastal area with the control strategy j. We have

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{Back}(j, \bigcup_i \mathbb{C}_i) \\ \mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{Forw}(j, \mathbb{C}_k), k \in \mathcal{I}_j \end{cases} \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{S} \subset \bigcup_{j} \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{I}_{j}} \operatorname{Forw}(j, \mathbb{C}_{k}) \cap \operatorname{Back}(j, \bigcup_{i} \mathbb{C}_{i}).$$

This property will allow us to have an inner approximation of the no-lost zone, which is our main contribution. This is illustrated by Figure 6 with 8 strategies: North, East, South, West, North-East, East-South, South-West, West-North.

## Acknowledgement

This work has been supported by the French Government Defense procurement and technology agency (DGA). It also benefited from the support of the project CONTREDO of the French National Research Agency (ANR).

## References

- F. Blanchini and S. Miani. Set-Theoretic Methods in Control. Springer Science & Business Media, Oct. 2007.
- [2] G. Chabert and L. Jaulin. Contractor Programming. Artificial Intelligence, 173:1079–1100, 2009.
- [3] V. Drevelle, L. Jaulin, and B. Zerr. Guaranteed characterization of the explored space of a mobile robot by using subpavings. In Proc. Symp. Nonlinear Control Systems (NOLCOS'13), Toulouse, 2013.
- [4] T. L. Mézo, L. Jaulin, and B. Zerr. An interval approach to compute invariant sets. *IEEE Transaction on Automatic Control*, 62:4236–4243, 2017.
- [5] R. E. Moore. *Interval Analysis*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1966.
- [6] S. Rohou, L. Jaulin, M. Mihaylova, F. L. Bars, and S. Veres. Guaranteed Computation of Robots Trajectories. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 93:76–84, 2017.
- [7] J. A. D. Sandretto and A. Chapoutot. Validated simulation of differential algebraic equations with runge-kutta methods. *Reliable Computing*, 22, 2016.
- [8] G. Trombettoni and G. Chabert. Constructive Interval Disjunction. In Proc. CP, Constraint Programming, pages 635– 650, LNCS 4741, 2007.